Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,352	HERBERT, BEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj Olsen	1753
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	,
(1) <u>Kaj Olsen</u> .	(3)	·
(2) <u>Saul Zackson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representat	tive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: all generally, 8 specifically.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hochstrasser.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner discussed Hochstrasser and the instant invention and possible measure invention</u> .	d the structural differences be	etween the teaching of
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	CODY of the amendments that	agreed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE A STATEMENT SUMMARY OF RECORD OF INTERVIEW REQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE	le last Office action has alread R THE MAILING DATE OF TH I OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS
		·
		era ger

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required